

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/176,124		10/21/1998	GERHARD SCHNEIDER	10191/857 7808		
26646	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON	KENYON & KENYON				OLSEN, KAJ K	
ONE BRO		2004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10004				1753		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/176,124	SCHNEIDER ET	ΓΔί	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	AL.	
	Kai K Olaan	4752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Raj K Olsen	1753	drass	
	appears on the sever shoet war the	orrespondence de	u / 000=	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times)	of Mailing or Transmission datede of month(s)) which expired on _	 ·		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it d			<u>-</u>	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reje application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not cor final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (\$		empt at a proper repl	y, to the non-	
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC		the statutory period	of three months	
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,), which is after the expiration of the statuto Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bal	ance of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	as not been received.			
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).	required by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	tice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trai	nsmission dated), which is	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	y the attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire ir	nterest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed be 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	y an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity un	der 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interview of the decision has expired and there are no a	rference rendered on <u>3-12-2004</u> and be llowed claims.	cause the period for	seeking court	
7. The reason(s) below:				
	Vicy (2	0/10/04	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Noti	ce of Abandonment	Part	of Paper No. 36	

Application/Control Number: 09/176,124

Art Unit: 1102

Applicant again argues that Yamada '806 or Yamada '807 does not have a "covering layer".

This argument is not persuasive. In either patent, the cell at either the top or the bottom of the sensor can be considered to meet applicant's "measuring cell layer", while the other of the top and bottom layer can be considered the "covering layer". There is simply no language in applicant's claims to exclude either the top or the bottom layer of either Yamada reference from being a "covering layer". Even when the top or bottom layer constitutes a cell, it nevertheless can also function as a "covering layer". Whether the patents intended such a layer to perform as a "covering layer" is also irrelevant.

Applicant's attention is again directed to the fact that in Yamada '806 the top layer in figure 9 is apparently being mislabelled as 13'a, which is really one of the two intermediate layers. See col. 6, lines 37-42. The top layer is actually layer 13.

Applicant also argues that the heater layer plane is not centered with respect to the center element.

This argument is not persuasive, since in both Yamada patents the heater is considered to be essentially centered with respect to the sensor element.

Applicant further argues that his newly-proposed limitation "at least one electrode, each electrode arranged on a respective surface of the measuring cell layer" is not met by the Yamada patents because in each case an intermediate board is arranged between the oxygen pump elemnt

Application/Control Number: 09/176,124 Page 3

Art Unit: 1102

and the oxygen concentration cell element and metallic electrode layers are "attached" to both sides of each element (see page 5, lines 1-8 of the Aug. 12, 2002 response).

This argument is totally confusing. In either Yamada, the cell element at the top or the bottom of the sensor has an electrode on each side of a solid electrolyte element. For instance, cell element 1 of Yamada '807 has electrodes 9 and 10 on opposite sides thereof. Thus, the measuring cell layer has an electrode on each surface thereof. The electrodes are not on the intermediate board (e.g. element 3 of Yamada '807). In fact, it is not understood how the intermediate board is even an issue as far as the question of locating electrodes on respective surfaces of the measuring cell layer is concerned. Applicant appears to have either misinterpreted the references or misstated his argument. In any event, applicant should clarify his position as to how the newly-proposed language distinguishes over the references.

The examiner can be reached at 703-308-3329. His supervisor Jill Warden can be reached at 703-308-4037. Any general inquiry should be directed to the receptionist at 703-308-0661. A fax number for TC 1700 is 703-872-9311.

Ta Tung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743